

# Well That Escalated Quickly...How Filing an H-1B Extension Could Lead to Removal Proceedings

By [Andrew M. Wilson](#)

July 20, 2018 | **IMMIGRATION**

Two recent USCIS policy updates underscore the hyper-aggressive tact the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) is taking with its adjudications through USCIS.

One memo clarifies that USCIS has the authority to deny filings w/o the need to send a Request for Further Evidence (RFE) or Notice of Intent to Deny (NOID). USCIS routinely (even more routinely lately with H-1B filings) sends an RFE if they feel additional information or documentation is needed. Now, under this new policy, USCIS may deny cases w/o providing the petitioner the opportunity to address any specific concerns about the filing.

The other memo confirms the conscription of USCIS into the enforcement world---a role usually reserved for Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) or Customs and Border Protection (CBP). This new policy memo authorizes USCIS to place an individual into removal proceedings if denying the non-immigrant petition will result in the foreign national having no status. For example, if an H-1B extension is filed for an individual, and the current H-1B status expires, and then the H-1B extension is denied w/o an RFE or NOID, USCIS may then place that individual into removal proceedings.

While not being afforded the opportunity to address an issue with USCIS before it chooses to deny a filing is unsettling, the notion that the person will subsequently be placed into removal proceedings is absolutely frightening. Individuals who have been here for years could be placed into removal proceedings w/o any opportunity to respond to a request for clarifying info from USCIS.

Without any warning, a non-immigrant extension filing such as an H-1B filing could lead to being placed into removal proceedings.

Individual case strategies become more important as the immigration landscape continues to dramatically shift.

**Disclaimer:** *The information in this post is provided for general informational purposes only, and may not reflect the current law in your jurisdiction. No information contained in this post should be construed as legal advice from our firm or the individual author, nor is it intended to be a substitute for legal counsel on any subject matter. No reader of this post should act or refrain from acting on the basis of any information included in, or accessible through, this post without seeking the appropriate legal or other professional advice on the particular facts and circumstances at issue from a lawyer licensed in the recipient's state, country or other appropriate licensing jurisdiction.*

**New York:** Albany, Buffalo, Clarence, Long Island, New York City, Rochester, Saratoga Springs, Syracuse // **Florida:** Jacksonville, West Palm Beach  
**Illinois:** Chicago // **Ohio:** Cleveland // **Oklahoma:** Oklahoma City // **Ontario:** Greater Toronto Area // **Texas:** San Antonio // **Washington, D.C**

Attorney advertising. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.